required to be put in the oil record book?

MR. WIGGER: Yes.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: And SWOMS is a double-check on the oil record book?

MR. WIGGER: It is pretty much, I guess, yeah, yeah.

MR. CHALOS: But the real question, if I may, the real question is, the system as it exists now, does it give you sufficient information? I think what it is you were driving at, Mr. Bundy, does it give you sufficient information to analyze what's going on on the shipboard — on the ship — to ascertain whether or not there's been any monkey business?

MR. WIGGER: Yes, it does, and even the example I use about the bilge well, normally bilge loading is going to be fairly consistent, unless there is an extraordinary — some, you know, pipe break, or something like that, and, generally, the bilge wells, you know, you're going to have a transfer daily or every other day, so if you begin to see no transfers of that bilge well to the bilge holding tank in a corresponding

.

increase, then you're going to begin to question, well, why is there less bilge loading now? And then if there's, you know -- of course, if there's an extraordinary leakage and that's not recorded, then that could really go by the wayside, theoretically you could then just pump that overboard.

MR. CHALOS: But you're looking for those kind of things.

MR. WIGGER: That's what we're looking at is to see if there is a consistency and if the consistency is not there to ask, why isn't it there? We spend a fair amount of time, as I know Ionia does in reviewing it, you know, we're not coming up with any problems, and we're fairly confident that we're doing a pretty good job in analyzing that. But, you know, aboard ships today engineers are very ingenious, so I can't say it's a failsafe system either.

MR. O'CONNELL: Why isn't the bilge well monitored by the SWOMS system?

MR. KARAGIORGIS: Captain, also our bilge wells are monitored by SWOMS.

It is? MR. WIGGER: 1 MR. KARAGIORGIS: Yes, monitored and 2 imported by SWOMS. Yes, yes, we have them. 3 MR. WIGGER: Maybe I'm getting confused 4 then with another ship. Because I understand 5 you didn't have the sensors in the bilge 6 7 well. MR. BURGESS: You had problems earlier 8 with the bilge wells. Like the last hearing 9 we had, I think you had problems with the 10 11 sensors? 12 MR. KARAGIORGIS: No, no. The bilge holding tank but 13 MR. WIGGER: the bilge wells themselves also have sensors. 14 15 MS. TSOCHLAS: The bilge wells have manual soundings of the bilge wells. 16 1.7 MR. KARAGIORGIS: Also SWOMS reports 18 times that incinerator bilge oil separator 19 within 24 hours. That means we can compare 20 the actual data with the operations time and 21 the --Don't everybody SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: 22 23 talk over each other. Remember, she's trying 24 to take down what you say, MR. KARAGIORGIS: So, we have a lot of 25

meters to compare if something happens onboard the vessel.

MR. BURGESS: I have a question.

In hearing the scope of work and the Judge's intent, the overall goal isn't to actually prevent pollution discharge or was it actually to identify it, just to identify pollution discharge?

MR. KARAGIORGIS: Yes.

MR. BURGESS: That will tell you whether the hourly basis is needed, because if you're trying to prevent pollution on an hourly basis and transmit it, that would be key, but if you're just trying to identify something is polluted or you have a problem, then you can catch them on a daily report.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: It's always going to be, no matter what, if you're transmitting it remotely, you're always going to be looking at something after the fact even if it's transmitted hourly, and --

MR. BURGESS: But the extent of the pollution.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: Right. Right. I understand. But are you saying that

hourly -- an hourly monitoring would prevent 1 2 discharge? MR. BURGESS: Well, it would work to 3 prevent a -- say, if you spilled -- you're 4 talking about 50 gallons compared to maybe 5 150 gallons, something so that you would 6 I don't limit the exposure and pollution. 7 know the actual intent. What is the intent? 8 SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: But does that 9 assume that somebody is looking at this thing 10 24 hours a day, which is another problem? 11 MR. BURGESS: I don't know if a 12 mechanism or a flag can be set up to say, 13 hey, it's a big anomaly. Something to report 14 maybe when the corporate compliance manager 15 would get an alert saying you need to get a 16 17 look at this. MR. CHALOS: Here he is. 18 Something set up. 19 MR. BURGESS: I notice in the previous, I guest second 20 hearing, they said the SWOMS software had 21 been upgraded to at least carry 14 days of 22 23 data. MR. KARAGIORGIS: Yeah. 24 MR. BURGESS: Now, you're saying it's

25

overloading.

MR. CHA

MR. CHALOS: No, no, if we do the hourly report, in other words, all that information is starting, it will be stored whereas it's not being stored --

MR. BURGESS: Stored on satellite or the vessel side?

MR. CHALOS: Stored onboard the memory system. That's creates a lot of memory problem. It's information once an hour as opposed to 24 hours.

MR. KARAGIORGIS: The system is able to restore 15 reports. In case of a loose communication, if something happens, if we start recording hourly data, that means the system could store 360 reports. It's not possible.

MR. CHALOS: That's the problem, it's the memory of doing it on an hourly basis, which they're trying to expand.

So, what we're exploring here today, and I think it's a good discussion, is whether there's a way to record hourly, download it at the end of the day, keep it onboard for the auditor's purposes, or if there's an

issue that comes up, that can also be transmitted, you know, if the CCM sees an anomaly that he can't explain, he can ask for that information, as opposed to sending that information, transmitting it on an hourly basis, which then creates a lot of information that may or may not be ever looked at, you know, and so what have we accomplished? The whole point is to be able to determine if there is a problem, that can be done with the daily report backed up by information that might explain any unexplained anomaly.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: You know what I'd like to do, and you folks can comment, and I don't want to increase any over work or expense for anybody, but I think that what we really need -- because I know one thing, I know Judge Arterton -- I met with Judge Arterton yesterday and she's very interested in the SWOMS issue, that what we need to do is to get our hands around this issue, and I ask that Ionia provide a statement of what exactly has been done by the SWOMS now and how that complies with the Judge's order, and

how that facilitates monitoring by the auditor and the technical management of Ionia to prevent or identify any problems occurring onboard the vessel. I'd like the Government to provide their view of this. Because I think we've got to get -- we've got to get this taken care of this time.

MR. CHALOS: And I think we should. As part of that report, we should identify what the capability of the system is. In other words, can we get enough memory to at least store hourly reports, and what more would be involved to sending those reports on an hourly basis to the office, and that includes the system itself, plus what kind of workload are we talking about, and is it reasonable under the circumstances? In other words, if the workload is increased 10 fold but it doesn't get you any greater oversight, what's the point of doing it that way?

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: Right.

I mean, the issues need to be, number one, is what Ionia doing now compliant with the Judge's order? First issue.

Second. Is what Ionia doing now, or has

the capability of doing now, able to comply with the intent, which is to identify and prevent or remedy any Marpol violation onboard the vessel at the earliest possible opportunity?

MR. CHALOS: Well, I think in answer to that, Mr. Bundy, is the fact that we have two and a half years of experience and, so far, you know, knock on wood, everything seems to be going along fine, in other words, they haven't had any major situation where something wasn't recorded or identified.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: No, I understand that, but as Mr. O'Connell raised and Commander Burgess raised, I mean, that's a great sign so far, and from what Captain Wigger has told us, he's able to take a close look at this, and that's a great sign, but we want to make sure that what's being done here is consistent with what the Judge ordered and what the Judge wants, and that's what she wants me to get to the bottom of, and so, I want to be able to do that in this report, but I need — there's a lot of back and forth here, but what I really need is for Ionia to

provide exactly why their system, either as it currently exists or as we can demonstrate, can be modified to accommodate the letter and the spirit of what the Judge wants.

MR. CHALOS: That's the point. I think from the spirit of the Judge's order, I think Ionia is fully complying, in other words, they're making sure that nothing's untoward, as you would say, has gone on on their vessel. The question of whether the Judge meant that hourly transmissions had to be transmitted as opposed to recorded on the ship, I don't know.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: Right now they're being recorded and kept for 14 days, is that correct?

MR. KARAGIORGIS: Yes.

special Master Bundy: So, today onboard the Theo/T every hour the tanks are being recorded, the SWOMS data is being sent to the commuter onboard the vessel and that continuous information is being maintained on the vessel for 14 days, at which time is each day by day being overwritten or is the whole 14 days getting erased and they start again?

-	
1	MR. CHALOS: I think the earliest of the
2	days is being overwritten.
3	MR. WIGGER: Is what Mr. Bundy said
4	accurate? I understand it's not being
5	recorded hourly, it's being monitored
6	continuously.
7	MR. CHALOS: Right, monitored.
8	MR. WIGGER: But there's no specific
9	recording unless you query the system and
10	then you say, okay, we've got a reading, but
11	it's not being recorded hourly right now.
12	SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: So, what's being
13	kept for 14 days?
14	MR. WIGGER: That's just the daily
15	transmission, the daily data, which is the
16	highs and lows of each tank, and that's it,
17	yeah.
18	SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: And then the
19	00GMT sounding?
20	MR. WIGGER: Right.
21	MR. KARAGIORGIS: Until the report is
22	transmitted to the office.
2,3	MR. WIGGER: I stand corrected, the
24	billings are being monitored, there's no
25	manual sounding of the billings?

MR. KARAGIORGIS: No.

_

MR. WIGGER: The other issue that we had before was the other tanks that are not being monitored and I think we discussed that the last time around. I'm not sure where -- so I knew there was some tanks or some areas that were not being monitored, but the bilge oil sludge and the fuel oil sludge are not being monitored. You're right. There are soundings being taken from those tanks, manual soundings.

MR. KARAGIORGIS: Monitored.

MR. WIGGER: SWOMS also.

MR. KARAGIORGIS: Because the last time we discussed about the fuel oil tank and the scavage tank, those tanks are not monitored by SWOMS. But fuel oil tanks are continually being monitored.

MR. WIGGER: Because I'm looking at the last audit and we didn't come up with any of the soundings for those tanks, and I guess that was my question, but the fuel oil sludge, they're all being monitored. So, there's no tanks that are not being monitored.

1	MR. KARAGIORGIS: No.
2	SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: Except for the
3	scavage tank.
4	MR. KARAGIORGIS: But, okay, onboard the
5	Motor tanker Theo/T only onboard MT Theo/T
6	the fuel oil overflow tank is included in the
7	Ionia PP certificate.
8	MR. O'CONNELL: I have three things to
9	say. One is why aren't there manual
10	soundings of the bilge being taken?
11	MR. WIGGER: There's no the way the
12	bilge well
13	MR. KARAGIORGIS: How long it will
14	take the manual soundings. Because it is
15	the open tank and you can have a look on this
16	manual.
17	MS. TSOCHLAS: There are no sounding
18	tables for the bilge wells.
19	SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: I'm sorry?
20	MS. TSOCHLAS: There are no sounding
21	tables for the bilge wells.
22 、	MR. KARAGIORGIS: There are no sounding
23	tables. No, actually, we have developed
24	sounding table for bilge wells in order to be
25	able for

For the SWOMS. 1 MR. WIGGER: For Vigilant Marine to 2 MR. KARAGIORGIS: 3 fix the problem. 4 MR. WIGGER: That is the question, how 5 you are monitoring with the SWOMS because 6 generally that's a difficult thing to do. 7 The way you normally measure bilge wells is 8 what you transfer to the bilge tank. We have requested that 9 MR. KARAGIORGIS: 10 information from CBI, who built the vessel, 11 and provide the formulas and we could manage to fix the -- to make the sounding tables of 12 But, you know, there is no sounding 13 14 pipe, you know. Generally, a tank you'll 15 MR. WIGGER: 16 have will be dimensional, whereas a bilge 17 well is open. 18 MR. O'CONNELL: Is open? 19 MR. WIGGER: Is open, but also the 20 curvature of the vessel there, so you don't 21 have a volume, so to speak. But I guess if 22 the shipyard -- and you would not have 23 generally when you're taking a sounding, you're taking a centimeter sounding, and then 24 25 that converts to the sounding tables over to

cubic meters and that's what your volume is 1 2 And, generally, the monitoring based on. bilge wells is very difficult. 3. 4 MR. KARAGIORGIS: Yes. 5 MR. WIGGER: Because you just can't 6 measure accurately. 7 MR. CHALOS: They're doing it through 8 the SWOMS. 9 They're not doing the MR. WIGGER: 10 manual soundings. 11 MR. CHALOS: No ship is doing manual 12 soundings. No ship is doing manual 13 MR. WIGGER: 14 soundings. That's why I was under the 15 impression that you were not doing the SWOMS 16 because a number of the other ships, the 17 other companies, yeah. 18 SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: Okay. 19 MR. WIGGER: That is one answer. It sounds like what 20 MR. O'CONNELL: 21 Captain Wigger is saying that there's not 22 being recordings made every hour, which, to 23 me, means that they're not in compliance right now with the Special Master's order. 24 25 MR. WIGGER: And that's accurate,

mean, the issue is, you know, recording every hour, to meet the letter of the Judge's order.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: What I'm trying to get at and this is what I think we really need to focus on is just what Mr. O'Connor pointed out and Captain Wigger pointed out is the sentence in the Judge's order says that the data shall be electronically recorded at least hourly.

Now, what's happening is it's being monitored electronically, and so the question about recorded, does that mean recorded — it doesn't make any sense to say recorded unless that would be an accessible number, but what's happening — what Vigilant Marine has designed is a system in which we have constant monitoring that can — that maintains an electronic record of the maximal and minimal at all times, and then once a day, records the actual sounding, and together with the recording of the maximal and minimal. Which sounds problematic given what's being stated here, but there may be some easy fixes, and if the easy fixes are,

for instance, that somebody on duty in the engine room pushes a button once an hour and downloads it onto something, that would take care of this problem. Now, whether that's a feasible solution? I don't know.

MR. CHALOS: It sounds easy enough to do. I mean, it would be part of their watch duties, but whether there's enough memory to do that on a system, I don't know. That's something --

Krystyna, did you hear what Mr. Bundy said?

MS. TSOCHLAS: From what I understood because every hour maybe somebody would press the button and generate a report.

MR. CHALOS: Right. Then that's stored somewhere on the vessel or transmitted.

MR. TSOCHLAS: It could be stored and printed on a hard copy, but for that to occur throughout the 24 hours would be very difficult because our vessels operate with no men, so there will be a period of the night where we won't have anybody in the engine room.

MR. KARAGIORGIS: So, we can also ask if

23

24

25

Vigilant Marine is able to provide us an automatic system in order to printout every hour without the need of push the button.

MR. WIGGER: What we have seen with other systems is a graphical representation of the tanks and you'll see, but that meets this continuous or hourly monitoring requirement because you'll actually see it recorded on a graph, and I don't know if that's takes less storage or what, or Vigilant can do that, but that's a very easy way, because then if you have any -- you'll see the changes either up or down, but -- and that generally what we've seen on other company vessels is that's downloaded to a computer automatically, so the memory function, as we talked about for the SWOMS itself, is not affected, it's automatically sent to a --

MR. CHALOS: Let's ask Krystyna.

MR. WIGGER: Whatever Vigilant could come up with.

MR. CHALOS: Krystyna, did you hear what Mr. Wigger said?

MS. TSOCHLAS: Yes. I did. We can make

.3

both suggestions to Vigilant Marine and ask what he is able to do to the software to modify.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: The question is whether they can monitor the software so that the continuous monitoring goes on.

MS. TSOCHLAS: The solutions that Mr. Karagiorgis mentioned and Captain Wigger, it would depend on what Vigilant Marine can do.

MR. O'CONNELL: Can we put a timeframe on this? It looks like this memory issue has been around since last January.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: That was going to be the next topic. We really do have to get our arms around this thing quickly, I think. Probation is going to come to an end pretty soon and the Judge is going to want to make sure that her orders have been complied with during the term of probation, and so, I think that we ought to have a report within — what is today? By January 30 saying this is what — this is our position on this thing — what it's done now, this is what's possible from Vigilant Marine. And, I mean, I'd like to see something from Vigilant Marine that

says all of this stuff. I mean, they seem to be the mystery people here and I'd like to have something from them. They're difficult to deal with, perhaps, Mr. Chalos could get involved in dealing with them or something, but I think that we've got to get something from Vigilant Marine that tells us unequivocally what they can do and if they can't do something, why not, but I think we need to work to that end and we need to work to that end fairly quickly.

MR. CHALOS: May I ask Krystyna a question on that?

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: Sure.

MR. CHALOS: Krystyna, do you think you can contact Vigilant Marine and have a response to these issues in the 18 days that we're talking about?

MS. TSOCHLAS: Well, we can't guarantee it, because, as I said before, there are times when Vigilant Marine doesn't respond to us for weeks.

MR. CHALOS: We don't have weeks.

MS. TSOCHLAS: We'll do our best to come into contact with them and get a response

from them regarding the issue.

Ť

5 ||

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: I think it really has to be taken care of. I think you need to do whatever you have to do to get Vigilant Marine going because I think that the Judge is very concerned about this.

MR. WIGGER: The other -- I guess, other question, too, is the current system that is having the high and the low and the one sounding per day, did that offer an equivalent, to the intent of the order? You know, and from a practical aspect does that give the Government -- does that give an auditor sufficient information to make the evaluation to insure there's been no, you know, wrongdoing?

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: No potential Marpol violation?

MR. WIGGER: And what is practical versus what can be done theoretically.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: And that's what I expect to have at the end of the month is your position on that issue, as well as what Vigilant Marine says that they can do.

MR. CHALOS: Well, Mr. Bundy, I think,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from my own standpoint, if they can get Vigilant to increase the memory to allow for hourly readings to be taken and downloaded onto a shipboard computer, yes, we would do that, then there will be no question as to compliance with the Judge's order, but I also think that we can't overlook the fact that we have two and a half years of experience, we've had both orders by Mr. Wigger and Mr. Sanborn and, you know, things seem to me to be working fine. So, from the intent of, you know, the letter of the order, I think we're fully complying and Ionia is doing a very good job in making sure that their vessels are obeying all regulations. we can get Vigilant to give us more memory and do what we're discussing here today, so much the better.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: I understand what you're saying and I understand your reading of it, I think the Government takes a different position and we'll just have to deal with that.

MR. O'CONNELL: I think the operation of the SWOMS is a special condition of

probation. It's clear on the order. And it needs to be working properly. While it may be that there's been no extraordinary discharge or abnormalities, the fact is that the system hasn't been working to, you know, it's optimal, the way that it's supposed to be, we've had problems. Most recently with the Ploutos, you've had discrepancies in the tank size.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: That's a separate issue. I mean, the discrepancies are a separate issue from the recording. That would happen if they're being recorded continuously.

MR. O'CONNELL: I'm saying it hasn't been without problems and right now two and a half years in, we're still trying to determine if they're still in compliance with the SWOMS system, you know, it seems problematic from the Government's standpoint.

MR. CHALOS: We obviously don't agree that the system is not being used to its maximum capability, there's no part of the system that's not being used, it's being used fully now, whether the system can be

improved, you know, feasibly improved, I don't know, that's what we're checking, you know, we're going to work towards that.

just go back and forth here, we need to have you guys set out your position on that and what exists, whether it complies, and whether -- and what can be done in addition to what exists to absolutely insure compliance, and the Government will be able to respond. Okay?

MR. CHALOS: I would think that we have 30 days to give us an opportunity to get it.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: My problem is I have to make a recommendation to the Court within 30 days of this hearing.

MR. CHALOS: I understand.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: And I'm going to be leaving the country on the 10th of February and I wanted to be sure I had that recommendation to the Court before.

MR. CHALOS: Well, we'll do the best we can to get the information from Vigilant, and if there's a snag --

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: Then let's hear

about it and why, and document the snag, and then we'll deal with that and we'll put that in the report to the Judge.

The Government can either file something simultaneously with the Government's position, and then when you get Ionia's submission, if you could get something to me within five days, that would be great. I've got to be able to put this into the report to the Judge.

MR. CHALOS: I know Mr. O'Connell is, as you say, new to the game, but the position and the way we've been working over the last couple of years is we're trying cooperatively to do the best that we can to make sure that everybody — this company is in compliance, so I would hate for it to be an adversarial thing, that you take a position that we then have to respond to it, I would hope we could do it in a more cooperative way.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: I understand. I think that the audits that have been coming out have been very good. I mean, if you look at the conclusions and the statements by the auditors, it's been good, so it indicates

25

that there has been some success, but, nonetheless, the Judge's order is what the Judge's order is and we have to deal with that, and the Judge is clear that she wants it dealt with.

MR. CHALOS: We understand.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: Boy, that took --Miss Tsochlas, if you want to take a break at anytime, just let us know; this is not an endurance contest.

I will. Thank MS. TSOCHLAS: Okay. you.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: All right. we got past the first three pages, but I think that -- I think that the rest of the presentation on the SWOMS including the opinion survey and the three manual soundings, and all of that, unless somebody has specific issues or questions on that, we can just skip to the next topic. I believe we've covered the SWOMS.

Mr. O'Connell?

MR. O'CONNELL: Can I just clarify the position -- Ploutos, is that how you say it?

Ploutos, yes. MR. KARAGIORGIS:

MR. O'CONNELL: One of the issues we were trying to resolve whether there is -whether we're satisfied with the manual soundings versus the SWOMS, is that resolved or not? We started talking about other issues.

MR. WIGGER: Maybe I'll let Ionia respond about its corrective action report.

MR. O'CONNELL: And are you, Mr. Wigger, and maybe you want to ask this, but are you satisfied with that corrective action report?

MR. WIGGER: When we did the audit on the Ploutos, there was some issues related to the correlation of the SWOMS and manual soundings, and following our audit, Drew Marine Technical Services went aboard and calibrated and then verified that the soundings were within a reasonable margin of error, and I think that's -- I think this is the report that I'm looking at here.

MR. KARAGIORGIS: This is the report of Ploutos.

MS. TSOCHLAS: If you look at the technician's report from Drew Marine on the second page, item six.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: Which exhibit is that?

MS. TSOCHLAS: If you go to slide 17, the technician's report.

I think, SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: Mr. O'Connell, your question is about whether or not the three manual soundings, is that the issue?

MR. O'CONNELL: No, I get the three manuals, that wasn't an issue, but as I understand it, being late to the game and in reading the documents, Ionia has requested that the Ploutos be able to come to the United States, there was some other issues regarding payments, sort of thing, I believe the United States responded that it didn't have any objections provided all these things were corrected. You issued a supplemental saying you supported that, provided, but you said, I believe in that order, that you wanted further collaboration, the tank soundings are matching the SWOMS, and I guess that's the technical report and, I guess, what I'm wondering is the Government's position, does that satisfy the intent of

1.1

what you had, what Mr. Wigger had, or is it necessary for Mr. Wigger to go back and reconfirm that? Secondly, it might be that this issue is mute until later because right now we're still deciding whether, you know, come January 30th, Ionia will give a position and the Government will give a position whether or not they're in compliance or not.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: I think that is a legitimate issue.

The supplemental recommended that the Judge enter an order, which she did, that said that Ploutos could come to the U.S. if it satisfied the discrepancies to the satisfaction of the independent environmental consultant, and so, I guess your question is, has that occurred?

MR. WIGGER: And we responded back to you saying that the corrective action reports seems to have corrected the deficiencies we identified.

Now, we haven't gone back to the vessel to verify that the SWOMS data specifically is in correlation, but the technician's report indicated it was. So, then a question comes,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you know, is there a need to revisit the vessel for a follow-up or do we take on face value, you know, the corrective action report as being --

MR. O'CONNELL: I think that's a decision for you, sir, but I think the Government's position is we would prefer that it be verified.

MR. CHALOS: You know, we can get carried away with all this stuff, you know, every time somebody goes to a ship in a far place costs money. So, I mean, at some point we have to accept things on faith, you know, based on information that's been provided and certified and so on and so forth. I mean, we can't at the drop of a hat start sending people all over the world because somebody feels like we got to dot every I and cross every T no matter what. I mean, the question really becomes is the independent auditor and the Court-appointed monitor, Mr. Sanborn, satisfied with what we have so far and make a recommendation to you.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: I guess my question is, from your point of view, Captain

3.

Wigger, would you be prepared to rely on the technician's report saying that the tanks have been recalibrated?

MR. WIGGER: I think the answer is yes based upon, I've got to believe these are reputable technicians and they're not going to falsify reports. On that face value?

Yes.

Following this, what we did recommend was periodic soundings to be provided to us, certified by the Master saying just to double-check, saying can you provide us with some additional soundings on a periodic basis that has — and then the Master would certify those, or the chief engineer, saying that these are true and correct.

The other suggestion might be if there's an opportunity, if the vessel is in a convenient U.S. port, then, you know, if we're available, we would, you know, maybe try to verify — go back aboard this to verify, but as you point out, even for us, traveling over to Dubai and other places in the world to do an audit is quite time—consuming, and it's quite expensive, so

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from a simple, you know, to verify whether the soundings are correct or not, we should be able to rely upon, you know, the Master certifying, or whatever, or the company certifying.

If I may, from my MR. O'CONNELL: I mean, I don't want to position, I agree. send people out for no reason, but what this is hinging on is they're requesting to come to the United States and they're saying that they're in compliance with the order, so it's not just like it's any normal type of audit, it's them being allowed to come into the United States calling on U.S. ports. know, taking them at face value, you know, that's fine, but I would just remind the Special Master of why we're here and one of the reasons why we're here is Ionia is a recidivous offender and most recently what they were convicted of is, in essence, lying to the Government.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: I understand, but Drew Marine, I don't think, is convicted of lying to anybody.

MR. O'CONNELL: But they're being paid.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: I understand. 1 MR. WIGGER: Has the Ploutos come into 2 3 the U.S.? No, she hasn't come to MS. TSOCHLAS: 4 the U.S., and presently she's in the far 5 east, so she's probably not coming to the 6 7 U.S. very soon. SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: And when is the 8 9 next audit report of Ploutos? MS. TSOCHLAS: We have the final audits 10 11 due this year which has to be carried out by 12 September. 13 And as we speak, SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: 14 is there any prospect of the Ploutos calling 15 on a U.S. port between now and then? 16 MS. TSOCHLAS: You never know, it 17 depends on the trade of the vessel, but 18 considering she's in the far east right now, 19 there's no prospect over the next few months of her calling at the U.S. 20 MR. WIGGER: Just to -- and I know we've 21 talked about this before, but it would be 22 helpful to us to always keep us informed of 23 24 the locations of the vessels, because I just 25 returned from the far east after doing an

audit and, you know, we always, you know, we like to coordinate other inspections if we can. So, yeah, definitely keep us informed, that would allow us to, you know, if we were in the neighborhood, we could stop by and do the audit, or verify the soundings, or doing something similar.

MS. TSOCHLAS: Well, when we begin the process for the time audit, as we did the initial audit and the ongoing audit, we'll keep you updated on the vessel's itinerary. We weren't aware that there was a requirement for an audit aboard the vessel in order to verify the findings and the recommendations with permission that was granted to the Ploutos.

MR. WIGGER: I don't think there's a requirement. I think that was a suggestion, or the recommendation by the Government.

MR. O'CONNELL: Well, I think the requirement was from your order that Mr. Wigger made.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: Right.

MR. O'CONNELL: My position was just --

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: You're

questioning whether I had enough information 1 2 to make that determination? 3 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, sir. SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: Any other SWOMS 4 5 issues that any of the parties want to explore while we've done that? 6 So, then -- maybe we ought to move then 7 down to the next topic which is training. 8 MR. CHALOS: Mr. Bundy, is this a good 9 time for a break? 10 The Judge 11 SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: indicates she might want to have lunch at 12 12 13 and discuss what's been going on so far in 14 here, but I don't know if she's been able to make it in in this giant snowstorm. So, why 15 16 don't we take a five minute break, I'll call 17 and find out what's going on, and if not, I 18 think we don't want to keep Miss Tsochlas any longer than necessary, and so -- let's take a 19 20 five minute break and just see where we are. 21 MS. TSOCHLAS: Thank you. SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: Off the record 22 23 here for five minutes. 24 (Whereupon, a brief recess was 25 held.)

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: We're ready to go 1 2 back on the record. 3 The next topic is the training issue. MR. KARAGIORGIS: Training issue. 4 5 MS. TSOCHLAS: Could I just mention one thing before we start? I'm having difficulty 6 7 hearing Mr. O'Connell. 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. When you speak --9 MS. TSOCHLAS: 10 MR. CHALOS: He is very soft spoken, 11 Krystyna. 12 Yeah, I realized that. MS. TSOCHLAS: MR. CHALOS: That's because he hasn't 13 14 been at ECS long enough. 15 Okay. I'm not SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: 16 sure where to start. I don't think that 17 there's any necessity of just -- you know, 18 the Powerpoint and your presentations sort of 19 speaks for itself about what topics and what has been carried out. 20 21 If you wanted to speak in a more general 22 manner about what the plan has been, whether 23 there's been any difficulties in carrying out 24 the company's plan, and what the company 25 intends to continue to do.

MS. TSOCHLAS: Well, difficulties, I think we haven't had real difficulties in implementing the training program, it has been a big change to our training program and we have been implementing it in phases. As such, when the one item on the agenda that has to do with evaluating the effectiveness of the training, it's still too early for us to be able to carry out an evaluation that will provide us with good feedback.

When you implement a training program, you need time for you to be able to see that there has been some kind of effect. We have, as I mentioned in the presentation, we have key performance indicators in place to show, for us to be able to monitor if there's been an improvement in our seafarer's performance. So far, it shows that there has been improvement if we base it on the key performance indicators, but we still consider it early to be 100 percent sure of what the effectiveness of our training program is.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: I have a question on the graph, which is on slide 31, I think, distribution of observations --

MS. TSOCHLAS: Slide 31.

2

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: What is a VIQ

3

category?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TSOCHLAS: We have very regular vetting inspections carried out by oil

majors, such as Shell, BP, Exxon, Mobil, and such. These vetting inspections are very The VIQ is the questionnaire that strict. the vetting inspectors use as a guide when they're inspecting our vessels. The VIQ is divided into 12 categories, two of which are pollution prevention, and engine and steering compartments. When the vetting inspection is completed, the vetting inspector records observations that he has found onboard the vessel in all of the areas of the VIQ, so what we are doing now is we are monitoring the percentage of observations that are recorded in these two areas as to what sort -- so, we are able to assess whether we have improvement in these areas. So, these are the two areas that are related to the environment, pollution prevention, and engine

Have I made that clear?

and steering compartments.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: And then the numbers reflected are numbers of observations with recommendations?

MS. TSOCHLAS: So, it's the percentage of observations in proportion to the total number of observations that are recorded in our fleet during vetting inspections.

So, you can see in 2008 -- from 2008 till 2009, we've had a drastic decrease in observations in those areas. During 2009 is when we began implementing the training program and our revised environmental manager plan. So, statistics, up until now, show that the implementation of the environmental plan and our training, the CBT training, has improved our seafarers' performance.

MR. CHALOS: For the entire fleet, right?

MS. TSOCHLAS: This is for the entire fleet, all six vessels. The statistics are for 2008, 2009, and for the first three quarters of 2010, because when we had to submit the documentation, we hadn't yet completed 2010 in order to calculate the KPIs for the whole year.

SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: So, the 2010 1 2 numbers reflect only 75 percent of 2010. Would that indicate that at the end of the 3 year you would expect those yellow graph 4 numbers to be higher with the results of the 5 fourth quarter? 6 7 MS. TSOCHLAS: Not necessarily, no, 8 because it's percentage. 9 SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: So, percentage 10 of --It's not an absolute 11 MS. TSOCHLAS: 12 number, so it won't increase, it's a 13 percentage tabling. At the end of 2010, 14 we'll have a bigger sample, more inspections 15 will have been carried out, so it will 16 probably decrease, not increase, unless 17 you've had problems in the area. 18 MR. CHALOS: Yes, theoretically, it 19 should decrease if there are no problems. 20 SPECIAL MASTER BUNDY: When you say an observation, an observation by a vetting -- a 21 22 vetter is one in which they state that an 23 improvement needs to be made or they see a 24 problem onboard in some way or another. 25 MR. TSOCHLAS: Yes, they only record